



October 1–5, 2026 Poll of Pennsylvania Registered Voters

contact@wavepolling.com

Methodology

This survey of 502 Pennsylvania registered voters was conducted by Wave Polling and Research. Fieldwork was carried out online October 1–5, 2026 by Verasight. All respondents were matched to the voter file as registered to vote in Pennsylvania and confirmed their state of residence in the survey.

Weighting. The data are weighted by Wave Polling and Research using iterative proportional fitting (raking) to registered-voter targets on eight dimensions: age, race/ethnicity, sex, household income, education, and white/non-white race by college or non-college educational attainment, benchmarked to Pennsylvania registered voters in the Census Bureau’s November 2024 Current Population Survey (CPS) Voting and Registration Supplement; 2024 presidential vote, benchmarked to Pennsylvania’s certified results, with the share of registered voters who did not vote in 2024 held at its CPS value for Pennsylvania; and local quintile of Trump vote share, in which each respondent’s zip code is matched to precinct-aggregated presidential results calibrated to certified county, congressional-district, and state totals, and binned by the surrounding area’s Trump share of the two-party vote averaged over 2020 and 2024, with each quintile holding one-fifth of Pennsylvania’s two-party vote. Weights were raked from uniform starting values and iteratively trimmed to the range [0.2, 5] with margins re-imposed after each trim.

Margin of error. The margin of sampling error accounts for the survey’s design effect, a measure of the loss of statistical power due to survey design and weighting. The Kish design effect of the weights is 1.65, for an effective sample size of 304 and a margin of sampling error of plus or minus 5.6 percentage points on a 50% proportion. Real-world error includes sources of error beyond sampling error — such as nonresponse bias, coverage error, and measurement error in self-reports — and margins of sampling error understate total survey error.

Fieldwork provider methodology. The following describes Verasight’s sampling and data-quality procedures for this project. The sampling criteria were: adult (age 18+), U.S. resident, and registered voter matched to the voter file, registered to vote in Pennsylvania per voter file records, and in-survey confirmation of state; the final sample includes only respondents who passed all data quality assurance checks, outlined below.

Respondents were recruited via email from the Verasight Community, which is composed of individuals recruited via random address-based sampling, random person-to-person text messaging, and dynamic online targeting. Additional recruitment was done over SMS-to-web for hard-to-reach groups. All Verasight community members are verified via multi-step authentication, including providing an SMS response from a mobile phone registered with a major U.S. carrier as well as within-survey technology verifying the absence of non-human responses, such as Google reCAPTCHA v3.

To further ensure data quality, the Verasight data team implements a number of post-data-collection quality assurance procedures, including confirming that all responses correspond with U.S. IP addresses, confirming no duplicate respondents, verifying the absence of non-human responses, and removing any respondents who failed in-survey attention and/or straight-lining checks. Respondents who completed the survey in less than 30% of the median completion time were removed. Unmeasured error in this or any other survey may exist. Verasight is a member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research Transparency Initiative.

About Wave Polling and Research

Wave Polling and Research is a political polling and research firm founded and led by G. Elliott Morris. Wave designs and fields surveys, models public opinion from the national level down to states, congressional districts, and counties, and advises organizations on what to do with what it finds.

Wave has clients in advocacy, labor unions, survey research, and academia. The firm's premise is that honest, constant, public measurement of opinion is part of the infrastructure of self-government. Wave's work includes direct polls of national and state populations, randomized message and framing experiments, and small-area opinion estimation using multilevel regression and post-stratification (MRP), producing modeled estimates for geographies as small as congressional districts and counties. Wave also briefs its clients on how to interpret the data and put it to use.

Wave operates under a dual mission: First, to advance rigorous methodology and transparency in the public polling industry. Second, to use polls to give the people a voice in Washington, D.C. These two goals help safeguard surveys for the future and ensure that what Americans actually think is measured honestly and heard where decisions are made.

Work with Wave: contact@wavepolling.com



Trump approval

Trump administration handling: Deportations / immigration enforcement

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Trump administration is handling each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly approve	32%
Somewhat approve	14%
Somewhat disapprove	6%
Strongly disapprove	45%
Not sure	3%
Net Approve	-6

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Trump administration handling: Border security

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Trump administration is handling each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly approve	37%
Somewhat approve	11%
Somewhat disapprove	10%
Strongly disapprove	36%
Not sure	6%
Net Approve	+2

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Trump administration handling: The economy

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Trump administration is handling each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly approve	10%
Somewhat approve	18%
Somewhat disapprove	14%
Strongly disapprove	54%
Not sure	4%
Net Approve	-40

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Trump administration handling: Tariffs and trade policy

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Trump administration is handling each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly approve	17%
Somewhat approve	17%
Somewhat disapprove	13%
Strongly disapprove	49%
Not sure	4%
Net Approve	-29

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Trump administration handling: Cuts to federal spending

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Trump administration is handling each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly approve	24%
Somewhat approve	15%
Somewhat disapprove	8%
Strongly disapprove	48%
Not sure	5%
Net Approve	-17

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Trump administration handling: Health care

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the Trump administration is handling each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly approve	13%
Somewhat approve	20%
Somewhat disapprove	13%
Strongly disapprove	47%
Not sure	8%
Net Approve	-28

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Immigration enforcement

Focus of immigration enforcement

Thinking about immigration enforcement in the U.S., which of the following comes closest to your view?

	All RVs
We should focus on deporting all undocumented immigrants, regardless of whether they have committed a crime	29%
We should focus on deporting undocumented immigrants who have committed serious crimes, and provide a path to legal status for those who work and pay taxes	71%
Unweighted N	(502)

"Focusing too much on deportations"

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "The Trump administration is focusing too much on deportations and not focusing enough on fixing the economy, inflation, and the rising cost of living."

	All RVs
Agree	62%
Disagree	29%
Not sure	10%
Unweighted N	(502)

Mass deportation of immigrant workers

Which comes closer to your view, even if neither is exactly right?

	All RVs
Mass deportation of all immigrant workers, regardless of how long they have lived in America, is necessary to protect American jobs and enforce the law	41%
Mass deportation of all immigrant workers is hurting the economy and raising costs for American families	59%
Unweighted N	(502)

Agree or disagree: "Undocumented immigrants who commit a crime should be deported, but hard-working undocumented immigrant workers need a path to legal status."

How much do you agree or disagree with each statement?

	All RVs
Strongly agree	43%
Somewhat agree	28%
Somewhat disagree	14%
Strongly disagree	13%
Not sure	2%
Net Agree	+43
Unweighted N	(502)

Agree or disagree: "Illegal immigration has been out of control for too long, and it's time to deport immigrants who illegally crossed the border regardless of how long they've been here or if they have citizen children."

How much do you agree or disagree with each statement?

All RVs	
Strongly agree	29%
Somewhat agree	16%
Somewhat disagree	16%
Strongly disagree	35%
Not sure	4%
Net Agree	-6

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Acceptable or too far: Deporting undocumented immigrants who have committed violent crimes

For each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	89%
Goes too far	6%
Not sure	5%

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Acceptable or too far: Deporting undocumented immigrants with no criminal record who have lived in the U.S. for many years

For each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	31%
Goes too far	57%
Not sure	12%

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Acceptable or too far: Detaining U.S. citizens or legal residents during immigration raids

For each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	18%
Goes too far	69%
Not sure	14%

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Acceptable or too far: Separating parents from their U.S.-born children during deportation

For each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

	All RVs
Acceptable	20%
Goes too far	66%
Not sure	14%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Sending military troops into American neighborhoods to carry out immigration raids

For each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

	All RVs
Acceptable	25%
Goes too far	63%
Not sure	12%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Ending legal protections for immigrants who were previously authorized to live and work in the U.S.

For each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

	All RVs
Acceptable	21%
Goes too far	61%
Not sure	17%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Deporting immigrants to countries they have no connection to

For each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

	All RVs
Acceptable	21%
Goes too far	67%
Not sure	12%
Unweighted N	(502)

Legal status and citizenship

Path to citizenship: unauthorized immigrants

Do you support or oppose a plan that would allow undocumented immigrants who are already here, but entered the U.S. without authorization, the chance to become U.S. citizens if they meet certain requirements over a period of time?

	All RVs
Strongly support	46%
Somewhat support	24%
Somewhat oppose	9%
Strongly oppose	15%
Not sure	6%
Net Support	+45
Unweighted N	(502)

Path to citizenship: DACA and TPS recipients

Do you support or oppose a plan that would allow immigrants who live in America under certain programs but have no option to apply for citizenship, such as immigrants who came to America as children (DACA) or received temporary protected status because of natural disasters or political conditions in their home countries (TPS), the chance to become U.S. citizens if they meet certain requirements over a period of time?

	All RVs
Strongly support	45%
Somewhat support	29%
Somewhat oppose	7%
Strongly oppose	10%
Not sure	10%
Net Support	+57
Unweighted N	(502)

Path to citizenship: employed, no criminal record, here more than 5 years

Do you support or oppose a plan that would allow immigrants who are employed and have no criminal record, but who entered the U.S. without authorization more than 5 years ago, the chance to become U.S. citizens if they meet certain requirements over a period of time?

	All RVs
Strongly support	47%
Somewhat support	25%
Somewhat oppose	8%
Strongly oppose	16%
Not sure	5%
Net Support	+48
Unweighted N	(502)

Experiment: the “amnesty” attack

Experiment. After the citizenship questions above, respondents were randomly assigned to read one of three passages and were then asked again about a path to citizenship. The placebo passage, on an unrelated topic, holds constant that everyone was given something to read. Median time on the passage page was 9 seconds for the placebo, 13 for the attack and 17 for the attack and response.

Placebo (n = 185): *Congress is debating how to pay for repairs to roads and bridges. Supporters say federal*

investment is overdue and would create jobs; opponents say states should pay for their own infrastructure and that federal spending adds to the debt.

Attack (n = 157): *Opponents call this plan blanket mass amnesty. They say it rewards people who broke the law to come here, puts them ahead of immigrants who waited and applied legally, encourages millions more to cross illegally, and hands taxpayer-funded benefits to people who should never have been here in the first place.*

Attack + response (n = 160): *the attack passage above, followed by Supporters answer that this is not amnesty: this is the creation of a new lawful pathway for people with strong ties to the U.S., who pay taxes and pass a background check, to get in line for an earned pathway to citizenship. Those who do not meet requirements and have committed serious crimes would be deported. The status quo treats hardworking neighbors [sic] who have lived here for decades as violent criminals, separating families and creating chaos.*

The re-asked question is worded slightly differently from the first ask (“immigrants who are already here, living in the U.S. without authorization” rather than “undocumented immigrants who are already here, but entered the U.S. without authorization”). Each passage’s effect is therefore its arm’s change in net support between the two asks minus the placebo arm’s change, which absorbs the wording difference and any effect of being asked twice.

Path to citizenship, first ask (before the passage), by arm

Do you support or oppose a plan that would allow undocumented immigrants who are already here, but entered the U.S. without authorization, the chance to become U.S. citizens if they meet certain requirements over a period of time?

The randomization check: the arms should agree within sampling error.

	All RVs	Experiment arm		
		Placebo	Attack	Attack + response
Strongly support	46%	48%	42%	46%
Somewhat support	24%	26%	29%	19%
Somewhat oppose	9%	10%	10%	9%
Strongly oppose	15%	11%	17%	17%
Not sure	6%	5%	2%	9%
Net Support	+45	+52	+44	+38
Unweighted N	(502)	(185)	(157)	(160)

Path to citizenship, re-asked after the passage, by arm

Now, thinking about it again — do you support or oppose a plan that would allow immigrants who are already here, living in the U.S. without authorization, the chance to become U.S. citizens if they meet certain requirements over a period of time?

	All RVs	Experiment arm		
		Placebo	Attack	Attack + response
Strongly support	41%	42%	39%	43%
Somewhat support	24%	26%	25%	22%
Somewhat oppose	12%	11%	15%	11%
Strongly oppose	18%	16%	19%	20%
Not sure	4%	5%	2%	5%
Net Support	+35	+41	+30	+33
Unweighted N	(502)	(185)	(157)	(160)

Effect of each passage on net support

Arm	First ask	Re-ask	Change	Effect vs. placebo	n
Placebo	+52	+41	-11	–	185
Attack	+44	+30	-14	-3 (±23)	157
Attack + response	+38	+33	-5	+6 (±19)	160

Net support = percent supporting minus percent opposing. Effects are in points with 95% margins of error.

Requirements for a path to citizenship. Asked of all respondents after the experiment; all three arms are combined.

If there were a path to citizenship for immigrants who currently have no option of becoming a citizen regardless of how long they have been here, which of these qualifications should be required? Please select all that apply.

Percentages are the share selecting each option (multi-select); columns may sum to more than 100%. The last two options were exclusive.

	All RVs
Registering with the government	53%
Passing a background check	65%
Having lived in the U.S. for at least ten years	30%
Having lived in the U.S. for at least five years	33%
Being steadily employed	56%
Waiting until immigrants who applied legally have been processed first	41%
None of these should be required	3%
There should not be a path to citizenship at all	15%
Unweighted N	(502)

Jobs, wages, and immigrants

Bigger reason wages haven't kept up with the cost of living

What do you believe is the bigger reason wages for people like you haven't kept up with the cost of living?

	All RVs
Immigrants competing for jobs and driving wages down	10%
Large corporations holding wages down to increase their profits	61%
Neither of these	18%
Not sure	11%
Unweighted N	(502)

Agree or disagree: Immigrants take jobs that American workers want

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly agree	12%
Somewhat agree	19%
Somewhat disagree	28%
Strongly disagree	32%
Not sure	9%
Net Agree	-29

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Agree or disagree: Immigrants mostly do jobs that American workers don't want

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly agree	29%
Somewhat agree	36%
Somewhat disagree	18%
Strongly disagree	11%
Not sure	7%
Net Agree	+35

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Agree or disagree: Employers use immigration status to hold wages down for everyone

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly agree	20%
Somewhat agree	28%
Somewhat disagree	13%
Strongly disagree	12%
Not sure	27%
Net Agree	+23

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Agree or disagree: Deporting immigrant workers will raise wages for workers like me

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly agree	8%
Somewhat agree	17%
Somewhat disagree	17%
Strongly disagree	41%
Not sure	17%
Net Agree	-33

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Agree or disagree: Deporting immigrant workers will make it harder for businesses in my area to stay open

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	All RVs
Strongly agree	23%
Somewhat agree	24%
Somewhat disagree	17%
Strongly disagree	23%
Not sure	13%
Net Agree	+7

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Worry about losing work or wages to immigrant hires

How worried are you, if at all, that you or someone in your family could lose work or wages because employers hire immigrants instead?

	All RVs
Very worried	8%
Somewhat worried	12%
Not too worried	17%
Not at all worried	60%
Not sure	4%

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Immigration policies: support, then priority

Support for policy: Deporting every immigrant who is in the country without authorization

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	21%
Somewhat support	17%
Somewhat oppose	14%
Strongly oppose	41%
Not sure	7%
Net Support	-18

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Support for policy: Deporting immigrants who are here without authorization and have been convicted of a violent crime

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	76%
Somewhat support	13%
Somewhat oppose	3%
Strongly oppose	4%
Not sure	5%
Net Support	+82

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Support for policy: More funding for border security — including federal agents, technology, and physical barriers

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	34%
Somewhat support	22%
Somewhat oppose	14%
Strongly oppose	22%
Not sure	9%
Net Support	+19

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Support for policy: Increasing the number of immigrants allowed to come to the U.S. legally each year

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	25%
Somewhat support	20%
Somewhat oppose	14%
Strongly oppose	24%
Not sure	17%
Net Support	+7
Unweighted N	(502)

Support for policy: Building more detention facilities to hold people awaiting deportation

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	16%
Somewhat support	15%
Somewhat oppose	16%
Strongly oppose	40%
Not sure	14%
Net Support	-24
Unweighted N	(502)

Support for policy: A path to citizenship for immigrants who have lived here at least ten years, pay taxes, and pass a background check

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	52%
Somewhat support	23%
Somewhat oppose	6%
Strongly oppose	12%
Not sure	7%
Net Support	+56
Unweighted N	(502)

Support for policy: Creating a new government classification for immigrants who have lived here for years that would permit them to stay, but would prevent them from applying for citizenship in the future

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	6%
Somewhat support	17%
Somewhat oppose	19%
Strongly oppose	32%
Not sure	26%
Net Support	-28

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Support for policy: Permanent legal protections for immigrants who were brought to the U.S. as children

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	43%
Somewhat support	22%
Somewhat oppose	10%
Strongly oppose	15%
Not sure	11%
Net Support	+40

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Support for policy: Restoring legal protections for immigrants from countries facing war or natural disaster

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	40%
Somewhat support	27%
Somewhat oppose	11%
Strongly oppose	13%
Not sure	10%
Net Support	+43

Unweighted N	(502)
--------------	-------

Support for policy: Requiring a court hearing (due process) before anyone is deported

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	47%
Somewhat support	14%
Somewhat oppose	11%
Strongly oppose	22%
Not sure	6%
Net Support	+30
Unweighted N	(502)

Support for policy: Requiring immigration agents to wear body cameras and visible identification

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	62%
Somewhat support	18%
Somewhat oppose	7%
Strongly oppose	8%
Not sure	6%
Net Support	+66
Unweighted N	(502)

Support for policy: Investigations for ICE agents who have killed immigrants and citizens on the streets and inside of, detention centers where people have died

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	57%
Somewhat support	17%
Somewhat oppose	7%
Strongly oppose	12%
Not sure	7%
Net Support	+56
Unweighted N	(502)

Support for policy: Impeach Stephen Miller

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	33%
Somewhat support	3%
Somewhat oppose	5%
Strongly oppose	16%
Not sure	42%
Net Support	+15
Unweighted N	(502)

Support for policy: Investigate corruption, for example, the U.S. government giving contracts for immigration detention centers to Donald Trump's donors

Do you support or oppose each of the following immigration policies?

	All RVs
Strongly support	49%
Somewhat support	12%
Somewhat oppose	7%
Strongly oppose	13%
Not sure	19%
Net Support	+41
Unweighted N	(502)

Priority: MaxDiff

If Congress acts on immigration next year, which of these should be the highest priority, and which should be the lowest?

Respondents then saw the same 14 policies, four at a time on seven screens, and picked the highest and the lowest priority on each screen. Every respondent saw each policy exactly twice; the combinations, screen order and on-screen positions varied across respondents. **Highest** is the percentage of the times a policy was shown that it was picked as the highest priority, **Lowest** the percentage picked as the lowest, and **Net** is Highest minus Lowest: from -100 (always picked lowest) to $+100$ (always picked highest), with a policy picked at random scoring 0. Net scores carry margins of error of ± 5 to ± 8 points. Support is the percentage supporting the policy in the grid above.

	Policy	Highest	Lowest	Net	Support
1	Deporting immigrants who are here without authorization and have been convicted of a violent crime	47%	12%	+36	89%
2	Investigations for ICE agents who have killed immigrants and citizens on the streets, and of detention centers where people have died	40%	14%	+26	75%
3	A path to citizenship for immigrants who have lived here at least ten years, pay taxes, and pass a background check	32%	15%	+18	75%
4	Investigate corruption, for example, the U.S. government giving contracts for immigration detention centers to Donald Trump's donors	30%	18%	+12	61%
5	Requiring immigration agents to wear body cameras and visible identification	26%	16%	+11	80%
6	Permanent legal protections for immigrants who were brought to the U.S. as children	27%	17%	+10	65%
7	Requiring a court hearing (due process) before anyone is deported	27%	18%	+8	62%
8	Restoring legal protections for immigrants from countries facing war or natural disaster	22%	17%	+5	67%
9	More funding for border security — including federal agents, technology, and physical barriers	27%	33%	-6	55%
10	Deporting every immigrant who is in the country without authorization	23%	41%	-18	38%
11	Creating a new government classification for immigrants who have lived here for years that would permit them to stay, but would prevent them from applying for citizenship in the future	12%	31%	-19	23%
12	Increasing the number of immigrants allowed to come to the U.S. legally each year	10%	35%	-25	45%
13	Impeach Stephen Miller	12%	40%	-27	37%
14	Building more detention facilities to hold people awaiting deportation	14%	45%	-31	31%

n = 502 respondents; each policy shown 1,004 times.

Immigration agents and the use of force

Heard about immigration agents shooting and killing people

Before today, had you seen, read, or heard anything about federal immigration agents shooting and killing people during immigration enforcement operations?

	All RVs
A lot	52%
A little	40%
Nothing at all	8%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Immigration agents using deadly force against someone who is not armed

And for each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	14%
Goes too far	70%
Not sure	15%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Immigration agents detaining or arresting U.S. citizens who film or protest an immigration raid

And for each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	28%
Goes too far	63%
Not sure	10%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Immigration agents carrying out raids without body cameras or visible identification

And for each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	23%
Goes too far	64%
Not sure	13%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Immigration agents using deadly force during a routine traffic stop

And for each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	15%
Goes too far	69%
Not sure	16%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: Immigration agents not being held accountable for deadly use of force

And for each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	16%
Goes too far	69%
Not sure	15%
Unweighted N	(502)

Acceptable or too far: People not receiving adequate medical care and dying in detention centers

And for each of the following, please indicate whether you think this is an acceptable part of immigration enforcement or whether it goes too far.

All RVs	
Acceptable	8%
Goes too far	75%
Not sure	17%
Unweighted N	(502)

Who should investigate a killing by an immigration agent

When a federal immigration agent kills someone during an enforcement operation, who do you think should investigate what happened, or is investigation unnecessary?

	All RVs
The Department of Homeland Security, which oversees the agents involved	24%
An independent investigator from outside the federal government	37%
State and local law enforcement where the shooting happened	29%
No investigation is necessary	4%
Not sure	6%
Unweighted N	(502)

Concern that agents could arrest or detain someone you know

How concerned are you, if at all, that federal immigration agents could arrest or detain someone you know?

All RVs	
Very concerned	19%
Somewhat concerned	18%
Not too concerned	18%
Not at all concerned	39%
Not sure	6%
Unweighted N	(502)
